

COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

COLLEGE OF EDUCATION	TEACHER CANDIDATE I	NAMETiffany W	ickliffe	_ STUDENT NUMBER_	20479257
PROGRAM: Master of Education in Elem	nentary Education				
COURSE: ELM-590		START DATE: _	8/15/2019	END DATE:	11/27/2019
COOPERATING SCHOOL NAME:	mont Elementary School				
SCHOOL STATE: California					
COOPERATING TEACHER/MENTOR NAME:	Beth Kurtz				
GCU FACULTY SUPERVISOR NAME:Phe	rby Higgins				

	FOR COURSE INSTRU	CTORS ONLY:	
EVALUATION 2S TOTAL POINTS	96.23 points	96.23	%



COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

TEACHER CANDIDATE NAME STUI	DENT NUMBER
-----------------------------	-------------

Inte	rstate Teacher Ass	sessment and Sup	port Consortium (l	InTASC) Scoring (Guide
No Evidence (The GCU Faculty Supervisor should create a plan with the Teacher Candidate to determine how the Teacher Candidate will meet this standard in future evaluations)	Ineffective (Teacher Candidates within this range require a Professional Growth Plan)	Foundational (Teacher Candidates within this range require a Professional Growth Plan)	Emerging (Teacher Candidates within this range may benefit from a Professional Growth Plan)	Proficient (Target level for Teacher Candidates)	Distinguished (Usually reserved for master Teacher Candidates)
No Evidence	1 to 49	50 to 69	70 to 79	80 to 92	93 to 100
There is no evidence that the performance of the Teacher Candidate met this standard or expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is insufficient in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	ns this standard and standard and expectations for expectations for a Teacher a Teacher Candidate during candidate during student			The performance of the Teacher Candidate consistently exceeds this standard and all expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.
Standard 1: Stude	ent Development			Score	No Evidence
1.1 Teacher candidates create strengths, interests, and n	•		account individual students' his or her learning.	95	
1.2 Teacher candidates collaborate with families, communities, colleagues, and other professionals to promote student growth and development.			92		



COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

TEACHER CANDIDATE NAME	Tiffany Wickliffe	STUDENT NUMBER	20479257

Inte	Interstate Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium (InTASC) Scoring Guide					
No Evidence	Ineffective	Foundational	Emerging	Proficient	Distinguished	
(The GCU Faculty Supervisor should create a plan with the Teacher Candidate to determine how the Teacher Candidate will meet this standard in future evaluations)	(Teacher Candidates within this range require a Professional Growth Plan)	(Teacher Candidates within this range require a Professional Growth Plan)	(Teacher Candidates within this range may benefit from a Professional Growth Plan)	(Target level for Teacher Candidates)	(Usually reserved for master Teacher Candidates)	
No Evidence	1 to 49	50 to 69	70 to 79	80 to 92	93 to 100	
There is no evidence that the performance of the Teacher Candidate met this standard or expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is insufficient in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is underdeveloped in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is developing in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate meets this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate consistently exceeds this standard and all expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	

Standard 2: Learning Differences	Score	No Evidence
2.1 Teacher candidates design, adapt, and deliver instruction to address each student's diverse learning strengths and needs and create opportunities for students to demonstrate their learning in different ways.	95	
2.2 Teacher candidates incorporate language development tools into planning and instruction, including strategies for making content accessible to English language students and for evaluating and supporting their development of English proficiency.	95	
2.3 Teacher candidates access resources, supports, specialized assistance and services to meet particular learning differences or needs.	95	

Evidence



COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

TEACHER CANDIDATE NAME STUDENT NUMBER 2017 2017

Inte	rstate Teacher Ass	sessment and Sup	port Consortium (I	nTASC) Scoring (Guide
No Evidence (The GCU Faculty Supervisor should create a plan with the Teacher Candidate to determine how the Teacher Candidate will meet this standard in future evaluations)	Ineffective (Teacher Candidates within this range require a Professional Growth Plan)	Foundational (Teacher Candidates within this range require a Professional Growth Plan)	Emerging (Teacher Candidates within this range may benefit from a Professional Growth Plan)	Proficient (Target level for Teacher Candidates)	Distinguished (Usually reserved for master Teacher Candidates)
No Evidence	1 to 49	50 to 69	70 to 79	80 to 92	93 to 100
There is no evidence that the performance of the Teacher Candidate met this standard or expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is insufficient in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is underdeveloped in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is developing in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate meets this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching. The performance of the Teacher Candidate consistently exceeds this standard and all expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	
Standard 3: Learn	ning Environments			Score	No Evidence
3.1 Teacher candidates manage the learning environment to actively and equitably engage students by organizing, allocating, and coordinating the resources of time, space, and students' attention.				95	
3.2 Teacher candidates communicate verbally and nonverbally in ways that demonstrate respect for and responsiveness to the cultural backgrounds and differing perspectives students bring to the learning environment.				95	

Evidence



COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

TEACHER CANDIDATE NAME	Tiffany Wickliffe	STUDENT NUMBER 20479257
------------------------	-------------------	-------------------------

Inte	rstate Teacher Ass	sessment and Sup	port Consortium (I	nTASC) Scoring (Guide
No Evidence (The GCU Faculty Supervisor should create a plan with the Teacher Candidate to determine how the Teacher Candidate will meet this standard in future evaluations)	Ineffective (Teacher Candidates within this range require a Professional Growth Plan)	Foundational (Teacher Candidates within this range require a Professional Growth Plan)	Emerging (Teacher Candidates within this range may benefit from a Professional Growth Plan)	Proficient (Target level for Teacher Candidates)	Distinguished (Usually reserved for master Teacher Candidates)
No Evidence	1 to 49	50 to 69	70 to 79	80 to 92	93 to 100
There is no evidence that the performance of the Teacher Candidate met this standard or expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is insufficient in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is underdeveloped in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is developing in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate meets this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate consistently exceeds this standard and all expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.
Standard 4: Conte	ent Knowledge			Score	No Evidence
4.1	ate student reflection on pri	or content knowledge, link n	ew concepts to familiar	95	

Standard 4: Content Knowledge	Score	No Evidence
4.1 Teacher candidates stimulate student reflection on prior content knowledge, link new concepts to familiar concepts, and make connections to students' experiences.	95	
4.2 Teacher candidates use supplementary resources and technologies effectively to ensure accessibility and relevance for all students.	95	
4.3 Teacher candidates create opportunities for students to learn, practice, and master academic language in their content area.	95	

Evidence



COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

TEACHER CANDIDATE NAME_	Tiffany Wickliffe	STUDENT NUMBER_	20479257
_			

Inte	rstate Teacher Ass	sessment and Sup	port Consortium (I	nTASC) Scoring (Guide
No Evidence (The GCU Faculty Supervisor should create a plan with the Teacher Candidate to determine how the Teacher Candidate will meet this standard in future evaluations)	Ineffective (Teacher Candidates within this range require a Professional Growth Plan)	Foundational (Teacher Candidates within this range require a Professional Growth Plan)	Emerging (Teacher Candidates within this range may benefit from a Professional Growth Plan)	Proficient (Target level for Teacher Candidates)	Distinguished (Usually reserved for master Teacher Candidates)
No Evidence	1 to 49	50 to 69	70 to 79	80 to 92	93 to 100
There is no evidence that the performance of the Teacher Candidate met this standard or expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is insufficient in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is underdeveloped in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is developing in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate meets this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate consistently exceeds this standard and all expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.
Ctandard E. Annli	cation of Content			Score	No Evidence

Standard 5: Application of Content	Score	No Evidence
5.1 Teacher candidates engage students in applying content knowledge to real-world problems through the lens of interdisciplinary themes (e.g., financial literacy, environmental literacy).	95	
5.2 Teacher candidates facilitate students' ability to develop diverse social and cultural perspectives that expand their understanding of local and global issues and create novel approaches to solving problems.		/

Evidence



COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

TEACHER CANDIDATE NAME_	Tiffany Wickliffe	STUDENT NUMBER 20479	9257
_			

Inte	Interstate Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium (InTASC) Scoring Guide				
No Evidence	Ineffective	Foundational	Emerging	Proficient	Distinguished
(The GCU Faculty Supervisor should create a	(Teacher Candidates within this range require a	(Teacher Candidates within this range require a	(Teacher Candidates within this range may benefit from a	(Target level for Teacher Candidates)	(Usually reserved for master Teacher Candidates)
plan with the Teacher	Professional Growth Plan)	Professional Growth Plan)	Professional Growth Plan)	Canalautesj	Teacher canadates)
Candidate to determine how	.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
the Teacher Candidate will					
meet this standard in future					
evaluations)	4 : 40	F 0 : 60	50. 50	00 : 00	00: 400
No Evidence	1 to 49	50 to 69	70 to 79	80 to 92	93 to 100
There is no evidence that	The performance of the	The performance of the	The performance of the	The performance of the	The performance of the
the performance of the	Teacher Candidate is	Teacher Candidate is	Teacher Candidate is	Teacher Candidate meets	Teacher Candidate
Teacher Candidate met this	insufficient in meeting this	underdeveloped in meeting	developing in meeting this	this standard and	consistently exceeds this
standard or expectations	standard and expectations	this standard and	standard and expectations for	expectations for a Teacher	standard and all
for a Teacher Candidate	for a Teacher Candidate	expectations for a Teacher	a Teacher Candidate during	Candidate during student	expectations for a Teacher
during student teaching.	during student teaching.	Candidate during student	student teaching.	teaching.	Candidate during student
		teaching.			teaching.

Standard 6: Assessment	Score	No Evidence
6.1 Teacher candidates design assessments that match learning objectives with assessment methods and minimize sources of bias that can distort assessment results.		
6.2 Teacher candidates work independently and collaboratively to examine test and other performance data to understand each student's progress and to guide planning.	95	
6.3 Teacher candidates prepare all students for the demands of particular assessment formats and make appropriate modifications in assessments or testing conditions especially for students with disabilities and language learning needs.	95	

Evidence



COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

TEACHER CANDIDATE NAME_	Tiffany Wickliffe	STUDENT NUMBER_	20479257

Into	retato Toachor Ace	sacement and Sun	port Consortium (I	nTASC) Scoring (Cuido
No Evidence (The GCU Faculty Supervisor should create a plan with the Teacher Candidate to determine how the Teacher Candidate will meet this standard in future evaluations)	Ineffective (Teacher Candidates within this range require a Professional Growth Plan)	Foundational (Teacher Candidates within this range require a Professional Growth Plan)	Emerging (Teacher Candidates within this range may benefit from a Professional Growth Plan)	Proficient (Target level for Teacher Candidates)	Distinguished (Usually reserved for master Teacher Candidates)
No Evidence	1 to 49	50 to 69	70 to 79	80 to 92	93 to 100
There is no evidence that the performance of the Teacher Candidate met this standard or expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is insufficient in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is underdeveloped in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is developing in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate meets this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate consistently exceeds this standard and all expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.
Standard 7: Plann	Standard 7: Planning for Instruction			Score	No Evidence
7.1 Teacher candidates plan how to achieve each student's learning goals, choosing appropriate strategies and accommodations, resources, and materials to differentiate instruction for individuals and groups of students.			95		
7.2 Teacher candidates develop appropriate sequencing of learning experiences and provide multiple ways to demonstrate knowledge and skill.			95		

Evidence



COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

TEACHER CANDIDATE NAME	Tiffany Wickliffe	STUDENT NUMBER	20479257
-			

Inte	Interstate Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium (InTASC) Scoring Guide					
No Evidence (The GCU Faculty Supervisor should create a plan with the Teacher Candidate to determine how the Teacher Candidate will meet this standard in future	Ineffective (Teacher Candidates within this range require a Professional Growth Plan)	Foundational (Teacher Candidates within this range require a Professional Growth Plan)	Emerging (Teacher Candidates within this range may benefit from a Professional Growth Plan)	Proficient (Target level for Teacher Candidates)	Distinguished (Usually reserved for master Teacher Candidates)	
evaluations) No Evidence	1 to 49	50 to 69	70 to 79	80 to 92	93 to 100	
There is no evidence that the performance of the Teacher Candidate met this standard or expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is insufficient in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is underdeveloped in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is developing in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate meets this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate consistently exceeds this standard and all expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	

Standard 8: Instructional Strategies	Score	No Evidence
8.1 Teacher candidates vary their role in the instructional process (e.g., instructor, facilitator, coach, audience) in relation to the content, purpose of instruction, and student needs	100	
8.2 Teacher candidates engage students in using a range of learning skills and technology tools to access, interpret, evaluate, and apply information.	100	
8.3 Teacher candidates ask questions to stimulate discussion that serve different purposes (e.g., probing for student understanding, helping students articulate their ideas and thinking processes, stimulating curiosity, and helping students to question).	100	

Evidence



COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

school, as supports for analysis, reflection, and problem solving.

TEACHER CANDIDATE NAME_	Tiffany Wickliffe	STUDENT NUMBER	20479257
TEACHER CARDIDATE INTIVIE		STODENT NOWIDEN	

Interstate Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium (InTASC) Scoring Guide						
No Evidence (The GCU Faculty Supervisor should create a plan with the Teacher Candidate to determine how the Teacher Candidate will meet this standard in future evaluations)	Ineffective (Teacher Candidates within this range require a Professional Growth Plan)	Foundational (Teacher Candidates within this range require a Professional Growth Plan)	Emerging (Teacher Candidates within this range may benefit from a Professional Growth Plan) Proficient (Target level for Teacher Candidates) Candidates) Teacher Candida Teacher Candida			
No Evidence	1 to 49	50 to 69	70 to 79	80 to 92	93 to 100	
There is no evidence that the performance of the Teacher Candidate met this standard or expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	mance of the andidate is insufficient in meeting this or expectations her Candidate for a Teacher Candidate is underdeveloped in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate expectations for a Teacher Candidate is underdeveloped in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during			The performance of the Teacher Candidate meets this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate consistently exceeds this standard and all expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	
Standard 9: Profe	essional Learning a	Score	No Evidence			
9.1 Independently and in collaboration with colleagues, teacher candidates use a variety of data (e.g., systematic observation, information about students, and research) to evaluate the outcomes of teaching and learning and to adapt planning and practice.				100		
9.2 Teacher candidates actively seek professional, community, and technological resources, within and outside the				100		

Evidence



COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

TEACHER CANDIDATE NAME THIRD WICKING STUDENT NUMBER 2047 9257	TEACHER CANDIDATE NAME	Tiffany Wickliffe	STUDENT NUMBER	20479257
---	------------------------	-------------------	----------------	----------

Interstate Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium (InTASC) Scoring Guide						
No Evidence (The GCU Faculty Supervisor should create a plan with the Teacher Candidate to determine how the Teacher Candidate will meet this standard in future evaluations)	Ineffective (Teacher Candidates within this range require a Professional Growth Plan)	Foundational (Teacher Candidates within this range require a Professional Growth Plan)	Proficient (Target level for Teacher Candidates)	Distinguished (Usually reserved for master Teacher Candidates)		
No Evidence	1 to 49	50 to 69	70 to 79	80 to 92	93 to 100	
There is no evidence that the performance of the Teacher Candidate met this standard or expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is insufficient in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is underdeveloped in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate is developing in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate meets this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate consistently exceeds this standard and all expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	
Standard 10: Leadership and Collaboration Score No Evidence						
10.1 Teacher candidates use te	chnological tools and a varied t engage students, families, a	ty of communication strategi	es to build local and global		V	
10.2 Teacher candidates advocate to meet the needs of students, to strengthen the learning environment, and to enact system change.				100		
		Fyid	lence			

Evidence



COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

TEACHER CANDIDATE NAME	Tiffany Wickliffe	_ STUDENT NUMBER	20479257

Grand Canyon University: Impact on Student Learning						
No Evidence (The GCU Faculty Supervisor should create a plan with the Teacher Candidate to determine how the Teacher Candidate will meet this standard in future evaluations)	Ineffective (Teacher Candidates within this range require a Professional Growth Plan)	Foundational (Teacher Candidates within this range require a Professional Growth Plan)	Emerging (Teacher Candidates within this range may benefit from a Professional Growth Plan)	Proficient (Target level for Teacher Candidates)	Distinguished (Usually reserved for master Teacher Candidates)	
No Evidence	1 to 49	50 to 69	70 to 79	80 to 92	93 to 100	
There is no evidence that the performance of the Teacher Candidate is insufficient in meeting this standard or expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching. The performance of the Teacher Candidate is underdeveloped in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching. The performance of the Teacher Candidate is underdeveloped in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching. The performance of the Teacher Candidate is underdeveloped in meeting this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.		The performance of the Teacher Candidate meets this standard and expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.	The performance of the Teacher Candidate consistently exceeds this standard and all expectations for a Teacher Candidate during student teaching.			
Grand Canyon University: Impact on Student Learning Score No Evidence						
Teacher candidates demonstrate an understanding of their impact on student learning as evidenced in the Student Teaching Evaluation of Performance (STEP) and other formative and summative assessments.				100		

Evidence



COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

TEACHER CANDIDATE NAME 7 STUDENT NUMBER	TEACHER CANDIDATE NAME	Tiffany Wickliffe	STUDENT NUMBER	20479257
---	------------------------	-------------------	----------------	----------

INSTRUCTIONS						
Please review the "Total Scored Percentage" for accuracy			e "Aareement and	Sianature" section		
ricase review the rotar scorear ercentage for accurac	y and add any attachments	before completing th	c rigi coment ana s	ngnature section.		
Total Scored Percentage:	00.00	0/				
	96.23	9 /0				
	ATTACHN	MENTS				
Clinical Practice Time Log:						
(Required)	G					
Attachment 1:	_					
(Optional)						
(Optional)						
Attachment 2:						
(Optional)						
AGREEMENT AND SIGNATURE						
This evaluation reflects the results of a collaborative conference including feedback from the Cooperating / Mentor Teacher. The GCU Faculty Supervisor and						
Cooperating / Mentor Teacher should collaboratively review the performance in each category prior to the evaluation meeting.						
I attest this submission is accurate, true, and in compliance with GCU policy guidelines, to the best of my ability to do so.						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
GCU Faculty Supervisor E-Signature	ه د الله		Date			
	mingricipas			Oct 3, 2019		
	Pherby Higgins (Oct 3, 2019)			000, 2013		